



Place of training:

Major:

Specialization:

Programme:

Name of Student:	Neptun code:
Title of specialization thesis/thesis/degree thesis:	
Name of Supervisor (head consultant):	Status:
Name of Consultant:	Status:
Name of Internal Consultant:	Status:

**SPECIALIZATION THESIS/THESIS/DEGREE THESIS SUPERVISOR REVIEW FORM**

<b>SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW</b>
<p><b>1. TOPIC SELECTION OF THE THESIS</b> <i>Aspects: actuality, up-to-date character of the chosen topic. The uniqueness of the conducted research.</i></p> <hr/>
<p><b>2. LOGICAL COMPOSITION, STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS</b> <i>Aspects: The sequence of the specific chapters, compliance of content, consistency of internal proportions.</i></p> <hr/>
<p><b>3. COMPLIANCE WITH RESEARCH AND SCIENCE ETHICAL ASPECTS</b> <i>Aspects: application for head of institution approvals, their attachment. Ensuring anonymity. Integrity of referencing to source materials. <b>In case of occurrence of plagiarism, the most serious science ethics misdemeanour, publication of the passage or train of thought proving or arouse suspicion is also requested!</b></i></p> <hr/>



**4. STYLISTICS OF THE THESIS**

*Aspects: writing style, articulation of the thesis text, frequency of grammatical errors, composition mistakes perplexing understanding.*

---

**5. ADEQUACY OF THE SCIENTIFIC LITERATURE REVIEW**

*Aspects: Quantity, quality and application of the used sources. Researching the available sources. Accuracy of interpretation of expertise, statistical results. Substantiation, logic, integrity of the professional scientific environment's exploration.*

---

**6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY PROFICIENCY**

*Aspects: Substantiation of the applied research methods, are the hypotheses in line with the objectives, can they be researched, has the methodological procedure of the research been presented accurately and professionally. Adequacy of the applied statistical methods.*

---

**7. QUALITY OF THE INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS**

*Aspects: precision of the presentation of results. Necessity, information content, integrity of the diagrams presented. Demonstration of the results in a structured system, assisting drawing conclusions.*

---

**8. PROFESSIONAL CHARACTER OF CONCLUSIONS**

*Aspects: Are the drawn conclusions logical, they can be concluded from the presented results. Are the conclusions in concord with the objectives and the hypotheses. How novel are the conclusions, how much are they based on evidences.*

---



**9. ASSESSMENT OF THE OVERALL IMAGE OF THE THESIS**

*Aspects: Is the thesis readable, engaging the attention of the reader. Is it complete, full work, in which the consistency of the research topic-objectives-hypotheses-results-conclusions axis is realized.*

**10. FURTHER CONTENT AND FORM-RELATED NOTES**

**FINAL CONCLUSION:**

The thesis in accordance with the university and faculty regulations

is in compliance in all respects

is not in compliance

its approval to the Committee

is proposed

is not proposed.

**PROPOSED GRADE:**

Fail (1)

Pass (2)

Satisfactory (3)

Good (4)

Excellent  
(5)

Date:

Supervisor's signature



**OPINION OF INTERNAL CONSULTANT (if the supervisor is external consultant)**

**COMPLIANCE WITH THE FACULTY REQUIREMENTS:**

**ADDITIONAL NOTES TO THE SUPERVISOR'S REVIEW:**

**PROPOSED GRADE:**

Fail (1)

Pass (2)

Satisfactory (3)

Good (4)

Excellent (5)

Date:

Internal Consultant's signature